Endocrine mucin-producing sweat gland carcinoma of the eyelid: A clinical and histological conundrum
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A 60-year-old male presented with a 6-month-old history of a left upper lid mass. The mass was excised, and histopathological evaluation showed a well-circumscribed, multinodular, intradermal tumor consisting of round-to-oval cells with round nuclei and mucin filled cysts. On immunohistochemical analysis, the tumor cells stained positively for cytokeratin (CK)-7, CK-8, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), mucicarmine, synaptophysin, gross cystic disease fluid protein-15 (GCDFP-15), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE). A diagnosis of endocrine mucin-producing sweat gland carcinoma (EMPSGC) of the eyelid was made and at 6-month follow-up, no recurrence was noted. In this communication, we discuss the pathology and treatment options of EMPSGC of the eyelid. Although an uncommon entity, EMPSGC may be considered as a differential when encountered with a suspicious, potentially malignant eyelid mass.
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Endocrine mucin-producing sweat gland carcinoma (EMPSGC) is an under-recognized low-grade sweat gland carcinoma.1,2 In itself, it remains a rare entity with less than fifty cases of EMPSGC of the eyelid being reported in English literature. However, over three-fourth of the cases in literature have been published in the past 3 years (2015–2018). This trend reflects a possible increase in diagnosis and reporting, rather than a true increase in incidence – confirming that although uncommon, EMPSGC has largely been an under-reported entity until recently. In this communication, we present the first documented case of EMPSGC from the Indian subcontinent.

Figure 1: (a) An external clinical photograph of the eyelid mass. (b) Tumor nests showing cystic, mucin-filled areas, and solid areas showing cribriform and papillary growth patterns (H and E, ×100). (c) A cribriform tumor nest at high magnification. Small, round to polygonal tumor cells displaying moderate amounts of granular, eosinophilic cytoplasm, and anisomorphic nuclei with bland chromatin and inconspicuous nucleoli (H and E, ×400). (d) Intra-tumoral mucin pools stained red with Mucicarmine stain (×100)
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cytoplasm was abundant and lightly eosinophilic. There were mucinous microcysts within the tumor, and although moderate pleomorphism was noted, mitotic figures were infrequent [Fig. 1d]. The surgical margins were uninvolved. A broad immunohistochemistry panel was used, and the results were as follows: cytokeratin (CK)-7+, CK-8+, ER+, PR+, mucarime +, synaptophysin +, GCDFP-15+, NSE+. Therefore, based on the tumor architecture, the abundant mucin production, and immunohistochemical features [Figs. 2 and 3], a final diagnosis of EMPSGC of the eyelid was made (T2b N0 M0). The recovery was uneventful, and at his 6-monthly follow-up, he was asymptomatic with no recurrence.

**Discussion**

Given the rarity of EMPSGC, our case presented a challenge both clinically and histopathologically. EMPSGC was not on our list of probable differentials during evaluation and planning. This stems from the fact that there have been no reports of EMPSGC from the Indian subcontinent; and most of the cases in literature being Caucasian patients. This geographical variation could indicate that this tumor may have a racial predilection - much like how sebaceous gland carcinoma is the most common malignant eyelid tumor in the subcontinent as opposed to basal cell carcinoma in the rest of the world.[2]

EMPSGC is a rare, low-grade cutaneous adnexal carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation and may be a precursor lesion of mucinous carcinoma of the skin.[9] The tumor is morphologically considered to be analogous to endocrine ductal carcinoma in situ/solid papillary carcinoma of the breast.[1,4] Immunohistochemically, EMPSGC cells typically express at least one or more neuroendocrine markers.[1] Owing to common embryological origin of sweat glands, breast, and salivary glands; tumors developing from these sites exhibit similar morphological features. The immunohistochemical profile of strong positivity for estrogen/progesterone receptors and cytokeratin 7 along with the histological resemblance to breast carcinoma makes it crucial to rule out the possibility of cutaneous metastases in all cases of EMPSGC.[9] However, our patient did not clinically show any mass lesion in the breast, retraction, discharge from the nipple or pain; all of which are the most common presenting signs in male breast cancer.

Zembowicz et al. in their landmark paper reported the clinical and pathological characteristics of 12 cases of EMPSGC. In their cohort, the lesions occurred in both sexes but were twice more common in females than males. The mean age at presentation was 70 years. The tumor was also more frequently encountered in the lower lid.[1] Usually, in cases of eyelid malignancies, clinical suspicion based on age and growth characteristics drives the appropriate management of surgical resection. Our case, surprisingly, did not classify as a “typical” case of EMPSGC, based on the demographics and location. Furthermore, the diagnosis of EMPSGC on the basis of clinical characteristics alone is difficult, as this tumor has the appearance of being a benign lesion on the eyelid. The differentials discussed in other reports in literature include metastasis and primary adnexal neoplasms such as hidradenoma, hidradenocarcinoma, apocrine adenoma, and dermal duct tumor.[9]

Histologically, EMPSGC typically has solid nodules of cells which may demonstrate a papillary or cribiform architecture, prominent cystic spaces, and intracellular and extracellular mucin.[1,7,8] In the series presented by Zembowicz et al., half the cases of EMPSGC were associated with invasive mucinous carcinoma, which in their opinion provided evidence for the possibility of multistage progression of noninvasive sweat gland neuroendocrine carcinoma to EMPSGC and then to mucinous carcinoma of the eyelid. Whether EMPSGC represents an in situ or an invasive carcinoma depends on the presence or absence of a peripheral rim of myoepithelial cells.[3] The presence of the rim of myoepithelial cells around the tumor cells should be confirmed using smooth muscle actin (SMA). However, SMA reactivity should be interpreted with caution because staining of stromal myofibroblasts around tumor nodules may result in an erroneous impression of an intact layer of myoepithelial cells.[3] Therefore, as Zembowicz et al. have mentioned, in most cases EMPSGC is best regarded as an invasive malignant tumor.[1]

With regards to the management, reports of recurrence and local invasion are rare, but owing to its histological similarity with carcinoma of the breast, it is believed that complete surgical excision is curative. Mohs micrographic surgery has also been used with success in the management of EMPSGC.[7,8]

This report is the first one documenting an EMPSGC from the Indian subcontinent. EMPSGC is a rare tumor and like other sweat gland carcinomas tend to grow slowly; tumors of the American Joint Committee on Cancer category T2b or less are reported associated with better outcomes.[9] In the Indian scenario, even though extremely uncommon, it should be considered as a differential when encountered with a suspicious eyelid mass.
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**Figure 2: The tumor cells strongly expressed CK-8 (a), CK-7 (b), and synaptophysin (c)**
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Figure 3: The tumor cells stained positively for ER (a), PR (b), and GCDFP-15 (c). The Ki-67 index was considered low at 6% (d).